

Re: Docket Nos. 564, 565

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

Darren Azman
Joseph B. Evans
One Vanderbilt Avenue
New York, New York 10017-3852
Telephone: (212) 547-5400
Facsimile: (212) 547-5444

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

Charles R. Gibbs (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Grayson Williams (admitted *pro hac vice*)
2501 North Harwood Street, Suite 1900
Dallas, Texas 75201-1664
Telephone: (214) 295-8000
Facsimile: (972) 232-3098

*Counsel to the Official Committee of
Unsecured Creditors*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:)	Chapter 11
)	
VOYAGER DIGITAL HOLDINGS, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,)	Case No. 22-10943 (MEW)
)	
Debtors. ¹)	(Jointly Administered)
)	

**NOTICE OF FILING OF LETTER OF THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF
UNSECURED CREDITORS IN SUPPORT OF JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF
VOYAGER DIGITAL HOLDINGS, INC. AND ITS DEBTOR AFFILIATES**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on October 19, 2022, the Debtors filed the *Second Amended Joint Plan of Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc. and Its Debtor Affiliates Pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code* [Docket No. 564] (the “Plan”) and the *First Amended Disclosure Statement Relating to the Second Amended Joint Plan of Voyager Digital*

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are: Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc. (7687); Voyager Digital Ltd. (7224); and Voyager Digital, LLC (8013). The location of the Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc.’s and Voyager Digital Ltd.’s principal place of business is 33 Irving Place, Suite 3060, New York, NY 10003. Voyager Digital, LLC’s principal place of business is 701 S. Miami Ave, 8th Floor, Miami, FL 33131.

Holdings, Inc. and Its Debtor Affiliates Pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code)
[Docket No. 565] (the “Disclosure Statement”).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on October 12, 2022, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “Committee”) appointed in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases of Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc., *et al.* (collectively, the “Debtors”) filed the *Objection of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors to Debtors’ Motion for Entry of an Order Approving (I) the Adequacy of the Amended Disclosure Statement, (II) Solicitation and Notice Procedures, (III) Forms of Ballots and Notices in Connection Therewith, and (IV) Certain Dates With Respect Thereto* [Docket No. 553] (the “Objection”) under temporary seal in accordance with *Common Interest and Confidentiality Stipulation and Protective Order* [Docket No. 408].

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Objection, the Committee seeks Court authority for the Committee Letter (as defined in the Objection) to be sent to general unsecured creditors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors have agreed to include the Committee Letter in the Plan and Disclosure statement solicitation package.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Committee hereby files the Committee Letter, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that copies of all documents filed in these chapter 11 cases may be obtained free of charge by visiting the website of Stretto at <https://cases.stretto.com/Voyager>. You may also obtain copies of any pleadings by visiting the Court’s website at <http://www.nysb.uscourts.gov> in accordance with the procedures and fees set forth therein.

Dated: New York, New York
October 19, 2022

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

/s/ Darren Azman

Darren Azman
Joseph B. Evans
One Vanderbilt Avenue
New York, NY 10017-3852
Telephone: (212) 547-5400
Facsimile: (212) 547-5444
E-mail: dazman@mwe.com
E-mail: jbevans@mwe.com

and

Charles R. Gibbs (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Grayson Williams (admitted *pro hac vice*)
2501 North Harwood Street, Suite 1900
Dallas, TX 75201
Telephone: (214) 295-8000
Facsimile: (972) 232-3098
E-mail: crgibbs@mwe.com
E-mail: gwilliams@mwe.com

and

Gregg Steinman (admitted *pro hac vice*)
333 SE 2nd Avenue, Suite 4500
Miami, FL 33131-2184
Telephone: (305) 329-4473
Facsimile: (305) 503-8805
E-mail: gsteinman@mwe.com

*Counsel to the Official
Committee of Unsecured Creditors*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 19th day of October 2022, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing *Notice of Filing of Letter of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in Support of Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc. and Its Debtor Affiliates* to be served on the Service List via (i) electronic notification pursuant to the CM/ECF system for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, (ii) e-mail, or (iii) First Class U.S. Mail, as indicated in the service list attached hereto.

/s/ Darren Azman

Darren Azman

SERVICE LIST

Name	Attention	Address 1	Address 2	City	State	Zip	Country	Email	Method of Service
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	400 6TH STREET NW		WASHINGTON	DC	20001		OAG@DC.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
FRANCINE DE SOUSA	C/O SISKINDS LLP	ATTN: ANTHONY O'BRIEN	100 LOMBARD STREET SUITE 302	TORONTO	ON	M5C1M3		ANTHONY.OBRIEN@SISKINDS.COM	VIA E-MAIL
FRANCINE DE SOUSA	C/O SISKINDS LLP	ATTN: MICHAEL G. ROBB & GARETT M. HUNTER	275 DUNDAS STREET UNIT 1	LONDON	ON	N6B3L1		MICHAEL.ROBB@SISKINDS.COM GARETT.HUNTER@SISKINDS.COM	VIA E-MAIL
GOOGLE, LLC		1600 AMPHITHEATRE PKWY		MOUNTAIN VIEW	CA	94043		COLLECTIONS@GOOGLE.COM	VIA E-MAIL
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE		PO BOX 7346		PHILADELPHIA	PA	19101-7346			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE	FOR THE SOUTHERN DIST OF NEW YORK	ATTN: RICHARD C. MORRISSEY, ESQ. AND MARK BRUH, ESQ.	201 VARICK STREET, ROOM 1006	NEW YORK	NY	10014		RICHARD.MORRISSEY@USDOJ.GOV MARK.BRUH@USDOJ.GOV	VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL
SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION		100 F STREET NE		WASHINGTON	DC	20549		SECBANKRUPTCY-OGC-ADO@SEC.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION	NEW YORK REGIONAL OFFICE	100 PEARL STREET SUITE 20-100		NEW YORK	NY	10004-2616		NYROBANKRUPTCY@SEC.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION	NEW YORK REGIONAL OFFICE	ATTN: ANDREW CALAMARI REGIONAL DIRECTOR	200 VESEY STREET SUITE 400	NEW YORK	NY	10281-1022		BANKRUPTCYNOTICESCHR@SEC.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF ALABAMA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	501 WASHINGTON AVE		MONTGOMERY	AL	36104		CONSUMERINTEREST@ALABAMAAG.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF ALASKA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1031 W 4TH AVE, STE 200		ANCHORAGE	AK	99501		ATTORNEY.GENERAL@ALASKA.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF ARIZONA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2005 N CENTRAL AVE		PHOENIX	AZ	85004		AGINFO@AZAG.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF ARKANSAS	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	323 CENTER ST, STE 200		LITTLE ROCK	AR	72201		OAG@ARKANSASAG.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	PO BOX 944255		SACRAMENTO	CA	94244-2550		XAVIER.BECERRA@DOJ.CA.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF COLORADO	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	RALPH L. CARR JUDICIAL BUILDING	1300 BROADWAY, 10TH FL	DENVER	CO	80203		CORA.REQUEST@COAG.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF CONNECTICUT	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	165 CAPITOL AVENUE		HARTFORD	CT	06106		ATTORNEY.GENERAL@CT.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF FLORIDA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	THE CAPITOL PL01		TALLHASSEE	FL	32399		ASHLEY.MOODY@MYFLORIDALEGAL.CO	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF GEORGIA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	40 CAPITOL SQ SW		ATLANTA	GA	30334			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF HAWAII	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	425 QUEEN STREET		HONOLULU	HI	96813		HAWAIIAIG@HAWAII.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF IDAHO	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	700 W. JEFFERSON ST, SUITE 210	PO BOX 83720	BOISE	ID	83720		LAWRENCE.WASDEN@AG.IDAHO.GOV AGWASDEN@AG.IDAHO.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF ILLINOIS	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	JAMES R. THOMPSON CENTER	100 W. RANDOLPH ST	CHICAGO	IL	60601		INFO@LISAMADIGAN.ORG	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF INDIANA	OFFICE OF THE INDIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL	INDIANA GOVERNMENT CENTER SOUTH	302 W WASHINGTON ST, 5TH FLOOR		IN	46204			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF IOWA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	HOOVER STATE OFFICE BUILDING	1305 E. WALNUT STREET	DES MOINES	IA	50319		CONSUMER@AG.IOWA.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF KANSAS	ATTN: ATTORNEY GENERAL DEREK SCHMIDT	120 SW 10TH AVE, 2ND FLOOR		TOPEKA	KS	66612		DEREK.SCHMIDT@AG.KS.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF KENTUCKY	ATTORNEY GENERAL - DANIEL CAMERON	700 CAPITAL AVENUE, SUITE 118		FRANKFORT	KY	40601			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF LOUISIANA	DEPT. OF JUSTICE - ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	300 CAPITAL DRIVE		BATON ROUGE	LA	70802		ADMININFO@AG.STATE.LA.US	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF MAINE	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	6 STATE HOUSE STATION		AUGUSTA	ME	04333		ATTORNEY.GENERAL@MAINE.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF MARYLAND	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	200 ST. PAUL PLACE		BALTIMORE	MD	21202		OAG@OAG.STATE.MD.US	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS	ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	1 ASHBURTON PLACE, 20TH FLOOR		BOSTON	MA	02108			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF MICHIGAN	DEPARTMENT OF ATTORNEY GENERAL	525 W OTTAWA ST		LANSING	MI	48906			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF MINNESOTA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	445 MINNESOTA ST, STE 1400	ST. PAUL		MN	55101		ATTORNEY.GENERAL@AG.STATE.MN.US	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF MISSISSIPPI	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	WALTER SILLERS BUILDING	550 HIGH ST, PO BOX 220	JACKSON	MS	39201			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF MISSOURI	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	SUPREME COURT BUILDING	207 W HIGH ST	JEFFERSON CITY	MO	65101		CONSUMERHELP@AGO.MO.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF MONTANA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	JUSTICE BUILDING, 3RD FLOOR	215 N SANDERS, PO BOX 201401	HELENA	MT	59602		CONTACTDOJ@MT.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF NEBRASKA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2115 STATE CAPITOL		LINCOLN	NE	68509			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF NEVADA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	OLD SUPREME COURT BUILDING	100 N CARSON ST	CARSON CITY	NV	89701			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	NH DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	33 CAPITOL ST.	CONCORD	NH	03301		ATTORNEYGENERAL@DOJ.NH.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF NEW JERSEY	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	RICHARD J. HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX	25 MARKET ST 8TH FL, WEST WING BOX 080	TRENTON	NJ	08611			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF NEW MEXICO	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	408 GALISTEO STREET	VILLAGRA BUILDING	SANTA FE	NM	87501		HBALDERAS@NMAG.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF NEW YORK	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	THE CAPITOL	2ND FLOOR	ALBANY	NY	12224			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	114 W EDENTON ST		RALEIGH	NC	27603			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	STATE CAPITOL, 600 E	DEPT. 125	BISMARCK	ND	58505		NDAG@ND.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF OHIO	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	STATE OFFICE TOWER	30 E BROAD ST 14TH FL	COLUMBUS	OH	43215			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF OKLAHOMA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	313 NE 21ST ST		OKLAHOMA CITY	OK	73105		QUESTIONS@OAG.OK.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF OREGON	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1162 COURT ST NE		SALEM	OR	97301-4096		ELLEN.ROSENBLUM@DOJ.STATE.OR.US ATTORNEYGENERAL@DOJ.STATE.OR.U	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	STRAWBERRY SQUARE 16TH FL		HARRISBURG	PA	17120			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF RHODE ISLAND	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	150 S MAIN ST		PROVIDENCE	RI	02903		AG@RIAG.RI.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	PO BOX 11549		COLUMBIA	SC	29211			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	REMBERT C. DENNIS BLDG	1000 ASSEMBLY ST RM 519	COLUMBIA	SC	29201			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1302 E HIGHWAY 14, STE 1		PIERRE	SD	57501-8501			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL

STATE OF TENNESSEE	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	PO BOX 20207		NASHVILLE	TN	37202-0207			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF TEXAS	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	300 W. 15TH ST		AUSTIN	TX	78701			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF UTAH	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	UTAH STATE CAPITOL COMPLEX	350 NORTH STATE ST STE 230	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84114		UAG@UTAH.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF VERMONT	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	109 STATE ST.		MONTPELIER	VT	05609		AGO.INFO@VERMONT.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF VIRGINIA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	202 N. NINTH ST.		RICHMOND	VA	23219		MAIL@OAG.STATE.VA.US	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF WASHINGTON	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1125 WASHINGTON ST SE		OLYMPIA	WA	98501			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF WASHINGTON	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	PO BOX 40100		OLYMPIA	WA	98504-00			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	STATE CAPITOL, 1900 KANAWHA	BUILDING 1 RM E-26	CHARLESTON	WV	25305		CONSUMER@WVAGO.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF WISCONSIN	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	17 WEST MAIN STREET, ROOM 114 EAST P		MADISON	WI	53702			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF WYOMING	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	109 STATE CAPITOL		CHEYENNE	WY	82002			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
TORONTO STOCK EXCHANGE		300 - 100 ADELAIDE ST.		WEST TORONTO	ON	M5H 1S3		WEBMASTER@TMX.COM	VIA E-MAIL
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	ONE ST. ANDREWS PLAZA		NEW YORK	NY	10007			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE U.S.		950 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., NW		WASHINGTON	DC	20530-0001			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
KELLEHER PLACE MANAGEMENT, LLC	HORWOOD MARCUS & BERK CHARTERED	500 W. MADISON ST.	SUITE 3700	CHICAGO	IL	60661		AHAMMER@HMLAW.COM NDELMAN@HMLAW.COM	VIA ECF VIA E-MAIL
METRPOLITAN COMMERCIAL BANK	BALLARD SPAHR LLP	200 IDS CENTER	80 SOUTH 8TH STREET	MINNEAPOLIS	MN	55402-2119		SINGERG@BALLARDSPAHR.COM	VIA E-MAIL
METRPOLITAN COMMERCIAL BANK	WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ	51 WEST 52ND STREET		NEW YORK	NY	10019-6150		RGMASON@WLRK.COM ARWOLF@WLRK.COM AKHERRING@WLRK.COM	VIA E-MAIL VIA ECF VIA E-MAIL
JASON RAZNICK	JAFFE RAITT HEUER & WEISS, P.C.	27777 FRANKLIN ROAD	SUITE 2500	SOUTHFIELD	MI	48034		PHAGE@JAFFELAW.COM	VIA ECF
STEVE LAIRD	FORSHEY & PROSTOK LLP	777 MAIN STREET	SUITE 1550	FORT WORTH	TX	76102		BFORSHEY@FORSHEYPROSTOK.COM	VIA ECF
ORACLE AMERICA, INC.	BUCHALTER, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	425 MARKET ST.	SUITE 2900	SAN FRANCISCO	CA	94105		SCHRISTIANSON@BUCHALTER.COM	VIA ECF
ALAMEDA RESEARCH LLC & AFFILIATES	SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP	125 BROAD STREET		NEW YORK	NY	10004		DIETERICH@SULLCROM.COM GLUECKSTEINB@SULLCROM.COM BELLERB@SULLCROM.COM	VIA ECF VIA ECF VIA E-MAIL
VOYAGER DIGITAL HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	601 LEXINGTON AVENUE		NEW YORK	NY	10022		JSUSSBERG@KIRKLAND.COM CMARCUS@KIRKLAND.COM CHRISTINE.OKIKE@KIRKLAND.COM ALLYSON.SMITH@KIRKLAND.COM	VIA ECF VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL
EMERALD OCEAN ISLE, LLC, AMANO GLOBAL HOLDINGS, INC., SHINGO LAVINE, AND ADAM LAVINE	C/O GOLDSTEIN & MCCLINKOCK LLP	ATTN: MATTHEW E. MCCLINTOCK, HARLEY GOLDSTEIN, AND STEVE YACHIK	111 W WASHINGTON STREET SUITE 1221	CHICAGO	IL	60602		MATTM@GOLDMLAW.COM HARLEYG@RESTRUCTURINGSHOP.COM STEVENY@GOLDMLAW.COM	VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL
EMERALD OCEAN ISLE, LLC, AMANO GLOBAL HOLDINGS, INC., SHINGO LAVINE, AND ADAM LAVINE	C/O LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS T. TABACHNIK, P.C.	ATTN: DOUGLAS T. TABACHNIK	63 WEST MAIN STREET SUITE C	FREEHOLD	NJ	07728-2141		DTABACHNIK@DTTLAW.COM	VIA ECF
MATTHEW EDWARDS	C/O LIZ GEORGE AND ASSOCIATES	ATTN: LYSBETH GEORGE	8101 S. WALKER SUITE F	OKLAHOMA CITY	OK	73139		GEORGELAW@GMAIL.COM	VIA ECF
TEXAS STATE SECURITIES BOARD	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS	ATTN: ABIGAIL R RYAN, LAYLA D MILLIGAN & JASON B BINFORD	BANKRUPTCY & COLLECTIONS DIVISION PO BOX 12548	AUSTIN	TX	78711-2548		ABIGAIL.RYAN@OAG.TEXAS.GOV LAYLA.MILLIGAN@OAG.TEXAS.GOV JASON.BINFORD@OAG.TEXAS.GOV	VIA ECF VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS		ATTN: ROMA N. DESAI	BANKRUPTCY & COLLECTIONS DIVISION PO BOX 12548	AUSTIN	TX	78711-2548		ROMA.DESAI@OAG.TEXAS.GOV	VIA ECF
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	BANKRUPTCY DIVISION	ATTN: MARVIN E. CLEMENTS, JR.	BANKRUPTCY DIVISION P O BOX 20207	NASHVILLE	TN	37202-0207		AGBANKNEWYORK@AG.TN.GOV	VIA ECF
VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL REGULATION	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL	ATTN: JENNIFER ROOD	89 MAIN STREET THIRD FLOOR	MONTPELIER	VT	05620		JENNIFER.ROOD@VERMONT.GOV	VIA ECF
ROBERT SNYDERS & LISA SNYDERS	C/O JOHNSON, POPE, BOKOR, RUPPEL & BURNS, LLP	ATTN: ANGELINA E. LIM	401 E JACKSON STREET SUITE 3100	TAMPA	FL	33602		ANGELINAL@JPFIROM.COM	VIA ECF
MICHAEL LEGG	C/O MCCARTHY, LEBIT, CRYSTAL & LIFEMAN CO.	ATTN: ROBERT R. KRACHT & NICHOLAS R. OLESKI	1111 SUPERIOR AVENUE EAST SUITE 2700	CLEVELAND	OH	44114		RRK@MCCARTHYLEBIT.COM NRO@MCCARTHYLEBIT.COM	VIA E-MAIL VIA ECF
MICHAEL GENTSCH	C/O BARSKI LAW PLC	ATTN: CHRIS D. BRASKI	9375 E. SHEA BLVD. STE 100	SCOTTSDALE	AZ	85260		CBARSKI@BARSKILAW.COM	VIA ECF
ILLINOIS SECRETARY OF STATE	C/O OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	ATTN: JOHN P. REDING	100 W. RANDOLPH ST FLOOR 13	CHICAGO	IL	60601		JOHN.REDING@ILAG.GOV	VIA ECF
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF BANKING AND FINANCE		ATTN: NATHAN HOVEY, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL	DEPARTMENT OF LAW 40 CAPITOL SQUARE SW	ATLANTA	GA	30334		NHOVEY@LAW.GA.GOV	VIA ECF
MARK CUBAN AND DALLAS BASKETBALL LIMITED, D/B/A DALLAS MAVERICKS	C/O BROWN RUDNICK LLP	ATTN: SIGMUND S. WISSNER-GROSS ESQ. & KENNETH J. AULET	SEVEN TIMES SQUARE	NEW YORK	NY	10036		SWISSNER-GROSS@BROWNRUDNICK.COM KAULET@BROWNRUDNICK.COM	VIA ECF VIA E-MAIL
MARK CUBAN AND DALLAS BASKETBALL LIMITED D/B/A DALLAS MAVERICKS	C/O BROWN RUDNICK LLP	ATTN: STEPHEN A. BEST ESQ & RACHEL O. WOLKINSON, ESQ.	601 THIRTEENTH STREET NW SUITE 600	WASHINGTON	DC	20005		SBEST@BROWNRUDNICK.COM RWOLKINSON@BROWNRUDNICK.COM	VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL
ED BOLTON	C/O AKERMAN LLP	ATTN: R. ADAM SWICK, JOHN H. THOMPSON, JOANNE GELFAND	1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, 37TH FL	NEW YORK	NY	10020		ADAM.SWICK@AKERMAN.COM; JOHN.THOMPSON@AKERMAN.COM; JOANNE.GELFAND@AKERMAN.COM	VIA ECF VIA ECF VIA ECF
JON GIACOBBE		ATTN: A. MANNY ALICANDRO	111 BROADWAY SUITE 615	NEW YORK	NY	10004		MANNY@ALICANDROLAWOFFICE.COM	VIA ECF
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.	C/O ALDRIDGE PITE, LLP	ATTN: GREGORY WALLACH	FIFTEEN PIEDMONT CENTER 3575 PIEDMONT ROAD, N.E.	ATLANTA	GA	30305		GWALLACH@ALDRIDGEPITE.COM	VIA ECF
AD HOC GROUP OF EQUITY INTEREST HOLDERS	C/O KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP	ATTN: DAVID M. POSNER & KELLY E. MOYNIHAN	THE GRACE BUILDING 1114 AVENUE OF THE	NEW YORK	NY	10036		DPOSNER@KILPATRICKTOWNSEND.COM KMHOYNIHAN@KILPATRICKTOWNSEND.C	VIA ECF
AD HOC GROUP OF EQUITY INTEREST HOLDERS	C/O KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP	ATTN: PAUL M. ROSENBLATT	1100 PEACHTREE STREET NE SUITE 2800	ATLANTA	GA	30309		PROSENBLATT@KILPATRICKTOWNSEND.COM	VIA E-MAIL
PIERCE ROBERTSON	C/O PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP	ATTN: RICHARD M. PACHULSKI, ALAN J. KORNFELD, DEBRA I. GRASSGREEN, AND JASON H. ROSEI	10100 SANTA MONICA BLVD 13TH FLOOR	LOS ANGELES	CA	90067		R.PACHULSKI@PSZJLAW.COM AKORNFIELD@PSZJLAW.COM DGRASSGREEN@PSZJLAW.COM JROSEI@PSZJLAW.COM	VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL VIA ECF

STATE OF WASHINGTON	OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL	ATTN: STEPHEN MANNING, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL	GOVERNMENT COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION P.O. BOX 40100	OLYMPIA	WA	98504-4010		STEPHEN.MANNING@ATG.WA.GOV	VIA ECF
MARCUS LLP	MINTZ & GOLD LLP	ATTN: ANDREW R. GOTTESMAN	600 THIRD AVENUE, 25TH	NEW YORK	NY	10016		GOTTESMAN@MINTZANDGOLD.COM	VIA ECF
U.S. SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION		ATTN: THERESE A. SCHEUER	100 F STREET, NE	WASHINGTON	DC	20549		SCHEUERT@SEC.GOV	VIA E-MAIL

EXHIBIT A

Committee Letter

**OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS
of Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc., et al.**

Case No. 22-20943 (MEW)
in the United States Bankruptcy Court
for the Southern District of New York

c/o McDermott Will & Emery LLP
One Vanderbilt Avenue
New York, NY 10017-3852

To: Customers and Creditors of Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc., et al.,

I. Introduction

The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “UCC”) was appointed by the United States Trustee to represent the interests of all unsecured creditors in the chapter 11 bankruptcy cases (the “Bankruptcy Cases”) of Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc., et al. (collectively, “Voyager”). We write to advise you of the UCC’s position regarding Voyager’s revised chapter 11 plan filed on October 19, 2022 (the “Plan”).¹ The deadline to vote on whether to accept or reject the Plan is November 25, 2022 (the “Voting Deadline”). On December 6, 2022, the Bankruptcy Court will hold a hearing to consider confirmation of the Plan (the “Confirmation Hearing”). For the reasons set forth below, the UCC recommends that you *vote to accept the Plan* and *opt-in* to contributing your direct claims to the Wind-Down Entity.

The UCC’s primary focus is getting the maximum amount of fiat and crypto returned to creditors as quickly as possible. Maximizing value is not only limited to recovering the greatest amount possible, but also to preserving the amounts that are currently available. Every decision in these Bankruptcy Cases involves your assets because each decision risks the depletion of funds that would otherwise be distributed to you.

II. How Did the Settlement Come About?

The UCC has been faced with many difficult decisions throughout the course of these Bankruptcy Cases. Each decision has been made after thorough analysis, research, and discourse to ensure that both sides of each decision have been properly vetted. The UCC’s decision with respect to the releases set forth in the Plan was one of the most difficult. The releases contained in the Plan, as described in more detail below, release (in part) Voyager’s claims against its directors and officers. Importantly, the releases in the Plan do NOT release, diminish, or in any way affect any claims you, government regulators, or any other third parties may have against Voyager’s directors and officers. As you all know, the UCC is comprised of individuals who like you lost substantial amounts of cryptocurrency because of Voyager and those operating Voyager. As you all read in the objection that we filed to Voyager’s disclosure statement, the UCC intended to vigorously object to the release of these individuals (collectively, the “Released

¹ All documents filed in Voyager’s bankruptcy cases are available free of charge at <https://cases.stretto.com/voyager/court-docket/>. The Plan is available at Docket No. 564.

Parties") from liability for their actions. It was the UCC's position then, and now, that if the individuals want to be released, then they need to pay for it.

By the same token, the UCC is not going to engage in contentious, expensive, and time-consuming legal battles that drain estate resources and delay your recoveries. The time for gambling with your money is over. Accordingly, the UCC conducted an investigation to determine the most efficient and effective resolution of potential claims against Voyager insiders, including Stephen Ehrlich, Voyager's Chief Executive Officer (CEO), and others.

A special committee of independent directors of Voyager (the "Special Committee") was appointed to investigate claims that Voyager may have against Ehrlich and other insiders. The Special Committee, in turn, hired the law firm of Quinn Emmanuel to conduct an investigation into any such claims. The UCC conducted its own investigation of the claims on behalf of creditors. The UCC investigation revealed that Ehrlich and Voyager's Chief Commercial Officer (CCO) were extremely careless in their investigation of Three Arrows Capital's (3AC) creditworthiness and thus, in their decision to loan almost \$1 billion of Voyager assets to 3AC. As a result, the UCC has concluded that the Debtors have valid and substantial claims against Ehrlich and the CCO for breach of their duties of care relating to their role in approving Voyager's ill-fated loans to 3AC.²

To ensure that pursuing litigation against Ehrlich is a worthwhile use of resources, however, the Special Committee and the UCC investigated Ehrlich's and the CCO's personal finances to determine the extent of their assets that would be available to pay a judgment if the Wind-Down Entity (as defined in the Plan), which will be governed by a trustee selected by the UCC, successfully prosecuted Voyager's claims against them. In connection with that investigation, both individuals provided written financial disclosures attesting to their assets under penalty of perjury. According to those financial statements, neither Ehrlich nor the CCO currently have substantial assets that would justify the uncertainty and expense of protracted litigation over confirmation of the Plan. Ehrlich's assets are approximately \$2.7 million (inclusive of his home), which is likely *less* than the combined legal costs Voyager's estates would incur if the UCC objects to the settlement and releases and Voyager and the Special Committee defend them. In other words, the depletion of assets that would otherwise be available to distribute to creditors is greater than the amount creditors stand to collect if the Wind-Down Entity brought claims against Ehrlich, and they succeed.

In light of the limited collectability, the Special Committee and its counsel negotiated a settlement with Ehrlich and the CCO. In connection with those discussions, the UCC insisted that even if Ehrlich's assets were as limited as he represented in his sworn disclosures, he should not be given a release without making a settlement payment for the benefit of creditors that represents a significant portion of his assets. The proposed settlement reflected in the Plan, though insignificant from the standpoint of the damages caused, does require Ehrlich to pay \$1.125 million, which amount represents more than 40% of his assets. Importantly, despite the settlement, the Wind-Down Entity will retain the right to prosecute claims against Ehrlich and the CCO for their role in the 3AC loan, but the recovery is limited to amounts available under

² Background regarding the relationship between Voyager and 3AC and Voyager's loan to 3AC can be found beginning on page 36 of Voyager's First Amended Disclosure Statement available at Docket No. 540.

applicable D&O insurance policies. Thus, although Voyager is foregoing further claims against Ehrlich's and the CCO's personal assets, Voyager's rights to sue them have been preserved, albeit capped at the insurance policies.

Moreover, the settlement requires Ehrlich and the CCO to forgo and subordinate any rights they have to the proceeds of the second of Voyager's two \$10 million policies (the "Second 10m Policy"). Unlike the earlier \$10 million policy, the Second 10m Policy was taken out shortly before the Bankruptcy Cases were filed at a time in which Voyager was insolvent. The UCC believes that the Wind-Down Entity can bring an avoidance action to recover for the benefit of creditors the \$10 million Voyager paid to the insurer under that policy. The settlement makes that recovery far more likely.

Further, the settlement requires Ehrlich to subordinate prepetition claims he has against Voyager such that all of your claims must get paid first *in full* before he can recover one dime on any of his prepetition claims. The claims subject to such subordination include his claims for the return of assets that he personally had on the Voyager platform that, like yours, were frozen shortly before the Bankruptcy Cases. The CCO will subordinate half of such claims. Further, both Ehrlich and the CCO agree to certain subordination provisions for indemnification of their legal fees they may otherwise have against Voyager. The UCC insisted on these rights to ensure that Ehrlich receives nothing unless and until you receive everything you lost.

Be assured, Ehrlich's apparent lack of wealth was surprising to the UCC as much as it must be surprising to many of you. There have been numerous reports by the media stating that Ehrlich personally made around \$37 million (Canadian) from sales of Voyager's stock last year. During the course of our ongoing investigation, however, we were advised that very little of those proceeds went to Ehrlich personally. Ehrlich was only one of several owners of the consulting company that received the proceeds. That said, although we appreciate the Special Committee and its counsel have satisfied themselves that Ehrlich's financial statements are accurate, the UCC has indicated that between now and the Confirmation Hearing, it intends to vigorously continue its investigation into the accuracy of the financial disclosures by, among other things, interviewing Ehrlich and the CCO, as well as obtaining confirmatory documentation. The UCC will also investigate transfers that Ehrlich made to anyone. If the UCC determines Ehrlich's or the CCO's financial disclosures are not accurate or are misleading – for example, that Ehrlich is hiding assets that could be reached by a judgment against him – the UCC will advise you of this and will promptly pull its support for the releases and settlement contained in the Plan.

As an additional measure of protection for creditors, the UCC negotiated for the Plan to provide an "out" in case the financial disclosures we received prove untrue. Indeed, the Plan states that if a court determines at any point in the future that the financial disclosures we relied upon in agreeing to the settlement are materially inaccurate, the releases of Ehrlich and the CCO shall become void and ineffective – meaning one or both can be sued personally by the Wind-Down Entity on the precise claims that were otherwise released. It is the combination of this protection and the additional investigation we will undertake that gives the UCC confidence in the settlement reached.

If the UCC's investigation confirms the accuracy of the financial disclosure statements, the UCC has decided not to object to the settlement or the releases because, as set forth in greater detail below, the benefits of the settlement outweigh the costs. For that reason, as well as the reasons set forth below, the UCC encourages you to **vote to accept the Plan**.

III. What are the Terms of the Settlement?

Before addressing why we believe the settlement is in your best interests (putting aside strong personal feelings towards those being released), we wanted to provide a brief bullet point summary of the material terms of the settlement. In exchange for Voyager releasing certain claims that can be brought against the Released Parties:

- Ehrlich shall pay \$1.125 million, and contribute any tax refund he may be entitled to receive on account of the \$1.125 million for the benefit of creditors;
- 3AC claims against Ehrlich and the CCO may still be prosecuted, but they shall be paid solely from the applicable D&O insurance policies;
- Any indemnification claims asserted by Ehrlich and the CCO are subject to certain subordination restrictions to creditor claims;
- Any prepetition claim by Ehrlich against Voyager shall be subordinated in full to creditor claims;
- Any prepetition claim by the CCO for assets he personally has on the Voyager platform will be subordinated in part (half) to creditor claims;
- Ehrlich and the CCO shall not make any claims against the Second 10m Policy, thereby allowing the Wind-Down Entity to attempt to clawback the recently paid \$10 million premium from the insurance company; and
- If the financial disclosure statements that were provided by Ehrlich or the CCO are ever adjudicated to have been materially inaccurate, the releases given to them become void and their personal assets thus become subject to any judgment against them.

IV. Why Should I Approve of the Settlement?

As an initial matter, the UCC is not happy that Ehrlich is only paying \$1.125 million. However, assuming the financial statements are accurate, that \$1.125 million represents approximately 60% of Ehrlich's net worth if you do not include his house and 40% of his net worth if you do. If the UCC objects to the settlement and succeeds, it is unclear whether a \$1.125 million recovery is possible later, after protracted litigation. There is also a possibility that Ehrlich files for bankruptcy before that date, which would likely result in no recovery for creditors. The UCC is not going to gamble with your assets in an attempt to draw blood from a stone. Doing so would be throwing good money after bad money.

With that said, the individual payment is the least important aspect of the settlement. The critical benefit for creditors is the preservation of (i) assets to be recovered (i.e., insurance policies) and (ii) current assets (i.e., avoiding costly litigation).

First, as part of the settlement, *only* the Wind-Down Entity (and therefore, creditors) may benefit from the Second 10m Policy. Ehrlich cannot deplete such policy by filing claim after

claim to cover their personal litigation defense costs until such time as the Wind-Down Entity has the opportunity to avoid and recover the \$10 million premium paid for the policy. The value preserved through this component of the settlement is up to \$10 million. Importantly, if the settlement fails, that \$10 million will be depleted by Ehrlich and others as they defend against the same claims that are being released.

Second, reaching a resolution preserves a substantial amount of resources by avoiding protracted litigation over Plan confirmation. Absent a settlement, the UCC intended to object to the releases set forth in the Plan, take depositions, and present witnesses and experts at trial. The Confirmation Hearing would be heavily contested resulting in significant legal fees being incurred and likely a significant extension of time before cryptocurrency and fiat are returned to creditors. Moreover, if the UCC’s objections were successful, then the Plan would not be able to be confirmed with the inclusion of the releases. Although the Court could approve the Plan if the releases are removed, Voyager would not have been required to move forward with that result. Instead, Voyager could have simply withdrawn the Plan, which would put the FTX transaction and an expeditious exit from chapter 11 at risk and certainly would have delayed the return of the creditors’ monies. The settlement avoids these costly delays and risks that would only serve to reduce and further delay creditor recoveries.

The UCC is tasked with objectively making decisions to increase creditor recoveries, no matter how difficult those decisions are to stomach. The settlement between Voyager, the Special Committee, Ehrlich, and the CCO preserves millions in assets for creditors. If the settlement fails, there is significant risk that creditors will not receive any benefit from these individuals or Voyager’s insurance policies, while at the same time incurring substantial litigation costs. It is this cost-benefit analysis guiding the UCC’s decision to agree to the settlement.

However, as stated above, the UCC will investigate the accuracy of the sworn financial statements because of the disclosures contained therein are false, the releases ***will be void***.

V. Contributed Third Party Claims

As an additional benefit of the settlement, Voyager has agreed to include a mechanism for creditors to contribute direct claims to the Wind-Down Entity that they may have against third parties that are in any way affiliated with Voyager. Specifically, the ballots that creditors receive to vote on the Plan will include an option to “opt in” to contributing their direct claims to the Wind-Down Entity.

As you know, the UCC conducted an investigation into the Released Parties. The investigation, however, did not include an investigation into claims Voyager and creditors may have against other third parties. Upon the effective date of the Plan, the Wind-Down Entity will conduct an investigation into these third parties. Although the Wind-Down Entity will have standing to pursue causes of action held by Voyager, causes of action held individually by creditors will not be included. If creditors contribute their direct claims against third parties to the Wind-Down Entity, the Trust will have the ability to bolster its causes of action against third parties and create a better chance at a larger recovery for creditors. Creditors that “opt in” to contributing their claims will also be contributing direct claims they hold against Voyager’s

directors and officers, including Ehrlich. To be clear, the Wind-Down Entity will not pursue those claims because they are being released as part of the settlement discussed above. However, claims against the directors and officers are not the claims that provide the best opportunity to recover significant assets for customers because those targets do not have substantial assets. It is claims against other not yet identified third parties. Accordingly, the UCC encourages creditors to “opt in” to contributing their direct claims to the Wind-Down Entity.

VI. Why Should I Accept the Plan?

The Plan must be confirmed to ensure that creditors receive distributions in the greatest amount and as quickly as possible. The Plan accomplishes this goal. The UCC fully supports the FTX transaction, which was selected after an extensive marketing and auction process, because the UCC believes that its consummation will maximize recoveries to creditors in the most timely, efficient, and effective manner.

If the Plan is not confirmed, there is risk of delay and a significant reduction to creditor recoveries. That is not a result that the UCC supports.

For the foregoing reasons, the UCC, urges you to *vote to accept* the Plan and *opt-in* to contributing your direct claims to the Wind-Down Entity.

Sincerely,

*The Official Committee of
Unsecured Creditors*